



1

Origins

Christian Bokhove <C.Bokhove@soton.ac.uk> 8 okt. 2018 14:30 ☆ ↻ ⋮
 Ian Marcus Munafò, Alwan
 Dear Marcus,

I've just had the first tentative meeting with Nisreen. You can put me down as named contact (for now). We are working on some representation from psychology and I have input from Computer Science as well (relevant after <http://science.sciencemag.org/content/359/6377/725>). When formed, we 5/6 will meet to discuss some key themes. From the start, we would value the work to be embedded in multidisciplinary (what does reproducibility mean for different disciplines, a positive view towards mutual understanding of each other's subjects) and the broader university context (some practices interact with academic cultures around 'publish or perish' for example, not an excuse, of course, but this broader context needs to be understood too).

2

Previous meetings

- About 20-30 on a mailing list for the local network
 - I forward relevant mails and we periodically meet.
- ReproducibiliTea started by Sophie Hall, Philly Broadbent and Pip Grylls.
- ECR presence from beginning.
- Attending
- February 2020 meeting

Following conclusions from document:

 1. Concrete projects: see next slide.
 2. Link up 'top down' and 'bottom up'.
 3. Skills building and /or training offer.
 4. ReproducibiliTea



3

- Maybe a nice M project could focus on surveying research practices in different disciplines.
- Maybe we can think of projects, for example via a Turing fellowship (reproducible AI?) or via stimulus funds, to create (interdisciplinary) case studies of practices that aim to improve science. Such case studies could also feed into course materials.
- Another entry point could be in framing efforts for 'improving science' as methodological advances.

4

Actions we are already taking...

- Institutional member
- Steering group, linking grassroots with institutional level. Representation across disciplines and support services.
 - First meeting 4 May, Terms of Reference
- Schooling initiatives
- Better communication sources
 - More professional mailing list
 - Website/blog

5

Directions – open discussion

- How can we incentivise open science?
- How can university structures help/support (e.g., funding/schooling)?
- 'Unique Selling Point'/specialisms
 - Multidisciplinary
 - Diverse methodological approaches
- Can't do it all, so...
 - See open science agenda – there's a lot going on.
 - What do we prioritise?
 - Long-term v short-term. Low-hanging fruit?
 - Concrete steps.

6